

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/706,990		11/14/2003	Won-Jun Koh	1572.1210	7361
21171	7590	08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS &		/ LLP	BOATENG, ALI	EXIS ASIEDUA	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING				2838	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/706,990	KOH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alexis Boateng	2838				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply		he correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faild Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/14/2005</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the) accepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
`	and and desired office detect for a f	ist state seranda sopies not rec					
Attachme -	*(c)						
Attachmen 1) Notice	ম(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notic 3) Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ ir No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,990 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In summary of the invention, in paragraph [0009], the word form should be changed to from.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaite (U.S. 6,016,046).

Regarding claim 1, Kaite discloses a charging system to charge a battery of a robot, comprising: a charger (fig. 2 item 101);

a first charging part provided in the charger (fig.2 item 115) and including a high-frequency current generator (fig. 2 item 116) to rectify commercial power and to convert the rectified power into a high frequency square wave signal (fig 2 item 118), a primary induction coil (fig. 1 item 113) to generate an electromagnetic field by the high frequency square wave signal supplied from the high frequency current generator, and a first terminal part to emit the electromagnetic field created by the primary induction coil and;

a second charging part (fig. 2 item 120) provided in the robot and including a second terminal part to mate with the first part, a secondary induction coil (fig. 2 item 114) to

generate an induced current by the electromagnetic field emitted from the first charging part and a DC converter (fig. 2 item 122) to rectify the induced current generated from the secondary induction coil and to supply DC power to the battery.

Regarding claim 27, Kaite discloses wherein the battery of the robot is charged without electrical contact between the robot and the charger (column 1 lines 9 thru 12)

4. Claim 29, 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park et al. (U.S. 6,683,438)

Regarding claim 29, Park discloses wherein a charging system to charge a battery of a robot, comprising: a charger (column 1 lines 65 thru 67);

a first charging unit provided in the charger to generate an electromagnetic field (fig. 2 item 110), and including a first terminal part to emit the electromagnetic field (fig.1 item 150); and

a second charging part (fig. 2 item 300) provided in the robot and including a second terminal part to mate with the first terminal part (fig. 2 item 350), to generate an induced current by the electromagnetic field emitted from the first charging part, supplying power to the battery.

Regarding claim 30, Park discloses a protrusion and a protrusion accommodating part provided in the second terminal part and the first terminal part, respectively (fig. 1 items 300 and 100).

Regarding claim 33, Park discloses a charging system having a charger to charge a battery of a robot, comprising: a first charging unit provided in the charger to generate an

Art Unit: 2838

electromagnetic field (fig. 2 item 110), and including a first terminal part to emit the electromagnetic field;

and a second charging part provided in the robot (fig. 2 item 300) and including a second terminal part to mate with the first terminal part (fig. 2 item 350), to generate an induced current by the electromagnetic field emitted from the first charging part, supplying power to the battery,

wherein the battery of the robot is charged without electrical contact between the robot and the charger (column 1 lines 39 thru 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 6, 9, 12, 16 20, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaite (U.S. 6,016,046) in view of Shirai (U.S. 5,550, 452).

Regarding claim 2 and 3, Kaite does not disclose wherein the first terminal comprises: a terminal member movable relative to the charger; and an elastic member interposed between the terminal member and the charger. Shirai discloses in figures 1A and 1B, a terminal member, item 78, movable relative to the charger. Shirai further discloses an elastic member interposed between the terminal member and the charger, item 106. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to design the Kaite system with a terminal member,

Application/Control Number: 10/706,990

Art Unit: 2838

which is movable by an elastic member so the charging apparatus is cushioned during contact, which protects the charger from early wear and damage.

Page 5

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Kaite does not disclose wherein the elastic member comprises: a spring elastically deformable to absorb shocks when the protrusion is accommodated in the protrusion accommodated part. Shirai discloses in figure 4 items 104, 78 and 106, a spring elastically deformable to absorb the shocks when the protrusion, 78 and 104, is accommodated in the protrusion 102, so that the coils are protected from damage during the process of charging. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement shocks that are elastically deformable to absorb shocks so that the coils are covered and protected during the process of charging.

Regarding claims 6, 9, 12, 18, 19, 20, 31 and 32, Kaite does not disclose wherein the protrusion is accommodated in the protrusion accommodating part, leaving a margin in which the protrusion is movable in a direction vertical to a docking direction. Shirai discloses in figure 4, items 100 and 102 the protrusion and the protrusion accommodating part where the protrusion is movable in the docking direction. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement a movable protrusion and protrusion accommodating part so that it is easier to achieve the charging process and the charger is protected from damage.

7. Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaite (U.S. 6,016,046) in view of Park (U.S. 6,683,438).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,990 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

Regarding claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 28, Kaite does not disclose wherein a protrusion and a protrusion accommodating part provided in the second terminal part and the first terminal part, respectively. Kaite also does not further disclose wherein at least one of the protrusion and the protrusion accommodating part is provided with guiding slants. Park discloses in figure 1, item 300 and 100, a protrusion and a protrusion accommodating part where both contain guiding slants, which can be implemented to secure the fit of the charger and the device. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a protrusion part and a protrusion accommodating part, both with guiding slants, so that the device is guided to the charger and so the device fits securely during charging.

8. Claims 13, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaite (U.S. 6,016,046) in view of Fernandez (U.S. 6,184,651).

Regarding claims 13, 14, and 15, Kaite does not disclose wherein a charging controller provided in the second charging part to transmit a control signal to the charger. Kaite does not further disclose wherein the first charger comprises: a first wireless communication part to allow communication between the charger and the robot; and a power controller to control an inverter of the high-frequency current generator in response to the control signal transmitted from the charging controller through the first wireless communication part. Fernandez discloses in figure 3, item 47 a communication controller in the second charging part, which controls the charging by transmitting a control signal to the charger. Fernandez further discloses in figure 3, item 39 a radio transceiver, which uses wireless communication to

Application/Control Number: 10/706,990

Art Unit: 2838

communicate between the charger and the device. Fernandez further discloses in figure 3 item 11 a primary controller controls the charging. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement a charging controller, which transmits signals to the charger using wireless communication, so that charger does not overcharge the battery and so that the communication is not confined to a limited range of usage.

Page 7

9. Claims 21 thru 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaite (U.S. 6,016,046) in view of Osawa (U.S. 6,764,373).

Regarding claims 21 thru 26, Kaite does not disclose wherein the protrusion and the protrusion accommodating part are provided so that the robot contacts the charger within a charging position even if a position of the robot is not precisely controlled.

Kaite does not further disclose wherein the battery of the robot is charged even when the position of the robot is not precisely controlled. Osawa discloses in figure 14, item 85 the protrusion is used to charge the robot Osawa further discloses in column 21 lines 23 thru 29 that electrical connection is made in any position because no severe accuracy is required for electrical connection alignment. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to design the system so that charging is performed with a large margin for error because it is easier to begin charging when the charging is not restricted to a small margin of space.

Art Unit: 2838

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexis Boateng whose telephone number is (571) 272-5979. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1

AB

Adolf Deneke Berhane Primary Examiner